Ш

Ш

I

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	MORRIS MESTER,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-10-2105 LKK EFB P
12	VS.
13	KELSO, et al.,
14	Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>
15	/
16	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action
17	seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate
18	Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.
19	On December 8, 2010, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations
20	herein which were served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections
21	to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Although
22	unresponsive to the December 8, 2010 findings and recommendations, on December 28, 2010,
23	plaintiff filed a document styled "objections to the findings and recommendations."
24	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule
25	304, this court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire
26	file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by
	1

proper analysis.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The findings and recommendations filed December 8, 2010, are adopted in

full; and

2. Defendants Kelso and Lankford are dismissed without prejudice.

DATED: February 2, 2011.

KARI Κ.

SENIOR JUDGE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT