

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

GEORGE S. LOUIE,
Plaintiff,
v.
PAULA ERICSON and STEVENSON
ERICSON dba WHITEY'S JOLLY KONE,
and WH SHAU-LINN and WH CAN XIANG
dba LEE's CANTON RESTAURANT,
Defendants.

Case No. 2:11-CV-00886-MCE-JFM

RELATED CASE ORDER

GEORGE S. LOUIE,
Plaintiff,
v.
THOMAS DEPNER, et al.
Defendants.

Case No. 2:10-CV-2106 JAM-KJN

G. SING LOUIE,
Plaintiff,
v.
DIALYSIS CLINIC, INC., ELENA
SADUR and LESLIE WOLF,
Defendants.

Case No. 2:10-cv-2113 JAM-KJN

1	G. SING LOUIE,)	
2)	
	Plaintiff,)	Case No. 2:10-cv-2366 JAM-KJN
3	v.)	
4	DIALYSIS CLINIC, INC., ELENA)	
	SADUR, and LESLIE WOLF,)	
5)	
	Defendants.)	
6)	
7	GEORGE S. LOUIE,)	
8)	Case No. 2:10-cv-2530 JAM-KJN
	Plaintiff,)	
9	v.)	
10	CALIFORNIA JUDICIAL COUNCIL,)	
	et al.,)	
11)	
	Defendants.)	
12)	
13	GEORGE S. LOUIE,)	
14)	Case No. 2:11-cv-0074 JAM-KJN
	Plaintiff,)	
15	v.)	
16	VOLVO-CALIFORNIA SWEDISH, et al.,)	
17)	
	Defendants.)	
18)	
18	GEORGE S. LOUIE,)	
19)	Case No. 2:11-cv-0107 JAM-KJN
	Plaintiff,)	
20	v.)	
21	KIM SPINELLA, et al.,)	
22)	
	Defendants.)	
23)	
24	GEORGE S. LOUIE,)	
25)	Case No. 2:11-cv-0108 JAM-KJN
	Plaintiff,)	
26	v.)	
27	STOMER FAMILY 2000 REVOCABLE)	
	TRUST, et al.,)	
28)	
	Defendants.)	

1 GEORGE S. LOUIE,)

2)
3 Plaintiff,)

Case No. 2:011-cv-0882 JAM-KJN

4 v.)

5 ADAM RAMIREZ dba RAMIREZ TOWING,)
6 et al.,)

7 Defendants.)

8 GEORGE S. LOUIE,)

9 Plaintiff,)

Case No. 2:11-cv-0883 JAM-KJN

10 v.)

11 DON JOHNSON,)

12 Defendant.)

13 GEORGE S. LOUIE,)

14 Plaintiff,)

Case No. 2:11-cv-0884 JAM-KJN

15 v.)

16)
17 PRAFULBHAI & JYOTSANABEN PATEL dba)
18 HOTELPLAZA, et al.,)

19 Defendants.)

20 GEORGE S. LOUIE,)

21 Plaintiff,)

Case No. 2:11-cv-0885 JAM-KJN

22 v.)

23 STOCKTON BLVD. STORE, LLC. dba)
24 MINI STORE, et al.,)

25 Defendants.)

26 Examination of the above-entitled actions reveals that these
27 actions are related within the meaning of Local Rule 123 (E.D. Cal.
28 2005). Accordingly, the assignment of the matters to the same judge

1 and magistrate judge is likely to affect a substantial savings of
2 judicial effort and is also likely to be convenient for the
3 parties.

4 The parties should be aware that relating the cases under
5 Local Rule 123 merely has the result that these actions are
6 assigned to the same judge and magistrate judge; no consolidation
7 of the actions is effected. Under the regular practice of this
8 court, related cases are generally assigned to the judge and
9 magistrate judge to whom the first filed action was assigned.

10 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the actions denominated
11 2:11-CV-00886 MCE-JFM be reassigned to Judge John A. Mendez and
12 Magistrate Judge Kendall J. Newman for all further proceedings, and
13 any dates currently set in this reassigned case only are hereby
14 VACATED. Henceforth, the caption on documents filed in the
15 reassigned cases shall be shown as 2:11-CV-00886 JAM-KJN.

16 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court make
17 appropriate adjustment in the assignment of civil cases to
18 compensate for this reassignment.

19 IT IS SO ORDERED.

20 Dated: November 8, 2011

21 /s/ John A. Mendez
22 JOHN A. MENDEZ
23 United States District Judge
24
25
26
27
28