(PS) Lau v. F	Pylman et al
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	HUMPHREY LAU, No. 2:10-cv-02129-MCE-DAD PS
12	Plaintiff,
13	v. <u>ORDER</u>
14	KATHI PYLMAN, et al,
15	Defendants.
16	/
17	Plaintiff is proceeding pro se with the above-entitled action. The matter was referred to a
18	United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to Local Rule 302(c)(21).
19	On December 21, 2011, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein
20	which were served on all parties and which contained notice that any objections to the findings
21	and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days after service of the findings and
22	recommendations. Plaintiff has filed objections to the findings and recommendations.
23	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, this
24	Court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the
25	Court finds the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations to be supported by the record
26	and by proper analysis.
	1

Doc. 34

## 

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. The findings and recommendations filed December 21, 2011 (ECF No. 31) are ADOPTED in full;
- 2. Defendants' May 11, 2011, motion to dismiss (ECF No. 20) is DENIED as to plaintiff's breach of contract claim with respect to the defendant Sellers' alleged reneging on their contractual agreement to pay all escrow fees, transfer taxes, title fees and \$1,100 for two years of home warranty insurance; and
- 3. Defendants' May 11, 2011 motion to dismiss (ECF No. 20) is GRANTED with leave to amend in all other respects.

Dated: February 16, 2012

MORRISON C. ENGLAND) JR. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE