(PS) Lau v. F	Pylman et al		
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	HUMPHREY LAU,		
11	Plaintiff,	Case No. 2:10-cv-2129 MCE DAD PS	
12	v.		
13	KATHI PYLMAN, et al,		
14	Defendants.	<u>ORDER</u>	
15	/		
16	This matter came before the court on June 28, 2012, for an Early Telephonic		
17	Settlement Conference. Plaintiff Humphrey Lau, who is proceeding pro se in this action,		
18	appeared telephonically on his own behalf. Attorney Brent Kramer appeared telephonically for		
19	defendants Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation and Homesteps. The settlement		
20	conference was held and the matter did not settle.		
21	Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that:		
22	1. Within 21 days of the date of this order plaintiff shall file a second amended		
23	complaint or a notice of his intention to proceed on his first amended complaint; and		
24	/////		
25			
26	/////		

Doc. 44

1	2. Defendants shall have 21 days from the date of plaintiff's filing to file an
2	answer or a responsive pleading. ¹
3	DATED: June 29, 2012.
4	\sim
5	Dale A. Dryd
6	DALE A. DROZD UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7	
8	DAD:6
9	Ddad1\orders.pro se\lau2129.oah.062812
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	¹ If plaintiff elects to proceed on the remaining claims set forth in his first amended complaint, defendants will have 21 days from the date of service of that notice to file an answer
2526	to the first amended complaint. If, however, plaintiff elects to file a second amended complaint, defendants will have 21 days from the date of its filing to file an answer or other responsive pleading to the second amended complaint.