Merino et al v. El Do	ado Hills County Water District	Doo
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DIS	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	DAVID MEDINO, CEEVE	No. 2:10-CV-02152-LKK-DAD
	DAVID MERINO; STEVE MARANVILLE; KARA MERINO;	
11	BRENDA MARÂNVILLE,	ORDER GRANTING DISMISSAL OF ENTIRE ACTION WITH PREJUDICE
12	Plaintiffs,	
13	VS.	[Filed Concurrently With: Stipulation for Dismissal]
14	EL DORADO HILLS COUNTY WATER DISTRICT; and DOES 1-50,	
15	Defendants.	
16	Defendants.	
17	The parties, by and through their attorneys of record, have stipulated to a dismissal	
18	The parties, by and unough their attorneys of record, have supurated to a dismissar	
19	of the entire action, as to each and every party, with prejudice	
20	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this action be dismissed with prejudice, in its	
21	entirety, pursuant to stipulation of the parties and pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil	
22	Procedure 41(a)(1).	
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
24		
25	DATED: November 29, 2012	
26		LAWRENCE K. KARLTON
27		SENIOR JUDGE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
28		
	Order for Dismissal of Case With Prejudice	Page 1 of 1
	T ***	<u> </u>

Doc. 55