I

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	DANIEL P. BJORLIN,
11	Plaintiff, No. 2: 10-cv-2162 FCD KJN P
12	VS.
13	DR. MORROW, et al.,
14	Defendants. <u>FINDINGS &amp; RECOMMENDATIONS</u>
15	/
16	By order filed November 3, 2010, plaintiff was granted twenty-eight days to file
17	an amended complaint. The twenty-eight day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not filed
18	an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court's order.
19	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed
20	without prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).
21	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District
22	Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen
23	days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written
24	objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's
25	Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the objections shall be filed and served
26	within fourteen days after service of the objections. Plaintiff is advised that failure to file
	1

1	chipping within the suppified time may waive the right to opped the District Court's order
1 2	objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order.
2	<u>Martinez v. Ylst</u> , 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).
	DATED: December 8, 2010
4	
5	Kendall & Newman
6 7	KENDALL J. NEWMAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
8	bj2162.fta
0 9	0j2102.1ta
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2