28

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No. 2:10-CV-02167-MCE-KJN 12Plaintiff, ORDER STRIKING CLAIMS OF 13 v. JAMARUS SMITH AND MONIQUE BANKS PURSUANT TO RULE 14 APPROXIMATELY \$7,960.00 IN U.S. G(8)(c) OF THE SUPPLEMENTAL RULES FOR ADMIRALTY OR CURRENCY, 15 MARITIME AND ASSET APPROXIMATELY \$2,260.00 IN U.S. FORFEITURE ACTIONS 16 CURRENCY, 17 APPROXIMATELY \$3,195.00 IN U.S. CURRENCY, 18 APPROXIMATELY \$3,927.00 IN U.S. 19 CURRENCY, and 20 2007 CADILLAC ESCALADE EXT, VIN: 3GYFK62837G222266, LICENSE 21 NUMBER 8W03721, 22Defendants. 23 2425 Presently before the Court is the United States' Motion to 26 27

Strike the Claims of Jamarus Smith and Monique Banks pursuant to Rule G(8)(c)(i)(A)-(B) of the Supplemental Rules for Admiralty or Maritime Claims and Asset Forfeiture Actions (the "Supplemental Rules"). No opposition was made to the Motion on behalf of either Smith or Banks. After having read and considered the motion, and good cause appearing therfor, the Court finds as follows:

- 1. On October 6, 2011, the parties filed a joint status report in the case. In the joint status report, the parties specified that claimants Jamarus Smith and Monique Banks' answers would be filed no later than November 5, 2011.
- 2. Supplemental Rule G(5) (b) provides that a claimant must file an answer or a Rule 12 motion within twenty-one days after filing a claim. If the claimant does not file an answer or a Rule 12 motion, the United States may move to strike a claim or answer "at any time before trial" for "failing to comply with Rule G(5)" and/or "because the claimant lacks standing." Fed. R. Civ. P. Supp. G(8) (c) (i) (A)-(B).
- 3. Statutory standing requires the claimant to comply with certain procedural and statutory requirements. <u>United States v.</u> 39,557.00, More or Less, in U.S. Currency, 683 F. Supp. 2d 335, 338-39 (D.N.J. 2010). The importance of filing an Answer cannot be understated, since the Answer provides the opportunity to present defenses as to why a claimant has standing to contest the forfeitability of the defendant property. <u>United States v. 1866.75 Board Feet and 11 Doors and Casings</u>, No. 1:07cv1100-GBL, 2008 WL 839792 (E.D. Va. Mar. 25, 2008). Courts have held claimants to strict compliance with the Supplemental Rules. <u>United States v.</u> §487,825 in U.S. Currency, 484 F.3d 662, 664-65 (3d Cir. 2007).

27 ///

28 ///

To date, no Answers submitted by either Jamarus Smith or 4. Monique Banks have been filed, or have been served on counsel for the United States. The United States' Motion (ECF No. 34) is Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND accordingly GRANTED. 1 ADJUDGED that the Claims of Jamarus Smith and Monique Banks in the above-styled civil action are stricken for failing to comply with Rule G(5) and/or pursuant to Rule G(8)(c)(i)(A)-(B) of the Supplemental Rules for Admiralty or Maritime Claims and Asset Forfeiture Actions because said claimants lacks standing. IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 7, 2012

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Having determined that oral argument was not of material assistance, the Court submitted this matter on the briefs accordance with Eastern District Local Rule 230(g).