

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SHERMAN JONES, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

No. 2:10-cv-2174 KJM KJN P

vs.

C. CANNEDY, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER

_____ /

On December 21, 2010, defendants filed a motion to dismiss pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) (failure to state a claim). Plaintiff has not opposed the motion.


Local Rule 230(l) provides in part: “Failure of the responding party to file written opposition or to file a statement of no opposition may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the motion” On September 29, 2010, plaintiff was advised of the requirements for filing an opposition to a motion and that failure to oppose such a motion may be deemed a waiver of opposition to the motion.

Local Rule 110 provides that failure to comply with the Local Rules “may be grounds for imposition of any and all sanctions authorized by statute or Rule or within the inherent power of the Court.” In the order filed September 29, 2010, plaintiff was also advised that failure to comply with the Local Rules may result in a recommendation that the action be

1 dismissed.

2 Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, within thirty days of the
3 date of this order, plaintiff shall file an opposition, if any, to defendants' motion to dismiss.
4 Failure to file an opposition will be deemed as a statement of non-opposition and shall result in a
5 recommendation that this action be dismissed pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

6 DATED: February 24, 2011

7
8 
9 KENDALL J. NEWMAN
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

10 jone2174.46.o.kjn

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26