(PC) Duckett v. Aramark		
1		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JAMES MAURICE DUCKETT,	No. CIV S-10-2185-CMK-P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	VS.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	ARAMARK,	
15	Defendant.	
16	/	
17	Plaintiff, a prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this civil rights action pursuant to 42	
18	U.S.C. § 1983. Pursuant to Eastern District of California Local Rules, this case was not assigned	
19	to a District Judge when the case was filed. The parties have not consented to Magistrate Judge	
20	jurisdiction and the court now finds that assignment of a District Judge is necessary to properly	
21	address the case.	
22	///	
23	///	
24	///	
25	///	
26	///	
		1

Doc. 11

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is directed to randomly assign a District Judge to this case and to update the docket to reflect the new case number.

DATED: October 13, 2010

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE