1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	RAYMOND USHER, et al., No. 2:10-cv-02202-MCE-GGH
12	Plaintiffs,
13	v. <u>MEMORANDUM AND ORDER</u>
14	CHASE HOME FINANCE, LLC, et al.,
15	Defendants.
16	00000
17	
18	Presently before the Court is Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave
19	to Amend First Amended Complaint ("Motion"), which was filed on
20	January 6, 2011, well after the Court's December 13, 2010, entry
21	of judgment in this action. "The Court may consider a rule 15(a)
22	motion for leave to amend which follows an order or judgment of
23	dismissal only if the Court first alters, vacates, or sets aside
24	the order or judgment under either rule 59 or rule 60(b)." Roque
25	v. City of Redlands, 79 F.R.D. 433 (C.D. Cal. 1978) (internal
26	citations omitted).
27	///
28	///
	1

The Court has not altered, vacated or set aside the judgment.
Moreover, the Court specifically denied Plaintiffs' December 15,
2010 request for an extension of time to file an amended
pleading. Accordingly, Plaintiffs' current Motion (ECF No. 19)
is DENIED. The Court will not entertain any further Motions for
Leave to Amend Plaintiffs' Complaint.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 6, 2011

MORRISON C. ENGLAND, CR.) UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE