(PS) Bryan v. Defense Technology U.S. et al		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8	T. TERELL BRYAN,	
9	Plaintiff,	CIV. NO. S-10-2241 MCE GGH PS
10	VS.	
11	DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY U.S.; et al.,	
12	Defendants.	ORDER
13	/	
14	Plaintiff has filed a document requesting that the U.S. Marshal serve defendant.	
15	Plaintiff's filing was signed on August 22, 2010, and filed on August 30, 2010. The court docket	
16	reflects that defendants filed a motion to dismiss on August 27, 2010, and that plaintiff was	
17	served with the motion. As defendants have appeared, no further service of the complaint is	
18	necessary.	
19	Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that: plaintiff's motion for order of service, filed	
20	August 30, 2010, (dkt. # 11), is denied as moot.	
21	DATED: September 2, 2010	
22		/s/ Gregory G. Hollows
23		GREGORY G. HOLLOWS
24	GGH:076:Bryan2241.mtn.wpd	U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE
25		
26		
	1	

Doc. 14