1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	MATHIAS ESOIMEME,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-10-2259 JAM EFB PS
12	VS.
13	WELLS FARGO BANK; NDEX WEST LLC; WORLD SAVINGS BANK; and
14	DOES 1-100, inclusive,
15	Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>
16	
17	This case, in which plaintiff is proceeding pro se, was referred to the undersigned
18	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Eastern District of California Local Rule 302(c)(21). On
19	November 14, 2011, the court dismissed plaintiff's complaint and granted plaintiff thirty days to
20	file an amended complaint. Dckt. No. 26. Then, on December 13, 2011, the court granted
21	plaintiff's motion for an extension of time to file his first amended complaint and gave plaintiff
22	until January 16, 2012. Dckt. No. 28. The court admonished plaintiff that "no further extensions
23	will be granted absent a showing of substantial cause." Id.
24	On January 12, 2012, plaintiff filed a motion for an additional thirty day extension of
25	time to file his amended complaint. Dckt. No. 29. In the motion, plaintiff states that he has
26	requested certain loan modification documents that are critical and relevant to his first amended

1

complaint, but that he has not yet received them. *Id.* at 2. Accordingly, plaintiff will be granted
 one final extension of time to file his amended complaint.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

Plaintiff's request for an extension of time to file an amended complaint, Dckt. No.
 29, is granted;

6 2. Plaintiff has until February 17, 2012 to file an amended complaint, as provided in the
7 November 14, 2011 order;

8 3. Failure to timely file an amended complaint in accordance with this order will result
9 in a recommendation that this action be dismissed; and

4. Defendants shall file a response to plaintiff's amended complaint within fourteen days
 from the date an amended complaint is filed.

12 DATED: January 18, 2012.

EDMUND F. BRÈNNAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE