1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	JORGE ROBLES JAUREGUI,		
11	Plaintiff, No. 2:10-cv-2283 JAM JFM (PC)		
12	VS.		
13	MATTHEW CATE, et al.,		
14	Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>		
15	/		
16	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action		
17	seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate		
18	Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.		
19	On February 21, 2013, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations		
20	herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any		
21	objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Plaintiff		
22	has filed objections to the findings and recommendations.		
23	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § $636(b)(1)(C)$ and Local Rule		
24	304, this court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire		
25	file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by		
26	proper analysis.		
	1		

1		Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
2		1. The findings and recommendations filed February 21, 2013, are adopted in
3	full; and	
4		2. Defendant Hsieh's August 2, 2012 motion for summary judgment is granted.
5	DATED:	June 26, 2013
6		
7		/s/ John A. Mendez UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
		2