

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ANTHONY M. BLACKWELL,

Petitioner,

No. CIV S-06-1876 RRB GGH P

vs.

RODERICK Q. HICKMAN,

Respondent.

ORDER

_____/

ANTHONY M. BLACKWELL,

Petitioner,

No. CIV S-10-2316 RRB GGH P

vs.

JAMES WALKER,

Respondent.

ORDER

_____/

Petitioner in both cases, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The above two cases have been consolidated. On August 12, 2011, in case 06-1876 petitioner filed a motion (Doc. 25) noting that respondent had not filed an answer on time. Petitioner is mistaken, respondent requested an extension which appeared in case 10-2316 which was granted and respondent filed the answer on

1 August 9, 2011. Therefore, respondent's answer is timely filed.

2 In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

3 1. The motion for default judgement (Doc. 25 in CIV S-06-1876 RRB GGH) is
4 denied and respondent's answer is timely filed.

5 2. The Clerk of the Court is directed to docket respondent's answer, Doc. 23 in
6 CIV S-06-1876 RRB GGH onto the docket in CIV S-10-2316 RRB GGH P

7 DATED: September 14, 2011

8 /s/ Gregory G. Hollows
9 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

10 GGH:AB
11 blac2316.ord3

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26