

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

KELLY WILSON,

Petitioner,

No. CIV S-10-2333 JAM GGH P

vs.

ROUNDS, Warden,

Respondent.

FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS

_____ /

By order filed October 4, 2010, petitioner’s application was dismissed and twenty-eight days leave to file an amended application was granted. The twenty-eight day period has now expired, and petitioner has not filed an amended application or otherwise responded to the court’s order.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice (as indicated in the previous order). See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, petitioner may file written objections with the court. The document should be captioned “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings and Recommendations.” Any response to the objections shall be filed and served

1 within fourteen days after service of the objections. Petitioner is advised that failure to file
2 objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order.
3 Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

4 DATED: December 15, 2010

5
6 /s/ Gregory G. Hollows

7 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26 /wils2333.fta