1	
1 2	
2	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	JORGE TREJO,
11	Plaintiff, No. 2: 10-cv-2381 DAD P
12	VS.
13	NANGALAMA, et al.,
14	Defendants. ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
15	/
16	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding through counsel with a civil rights action
17	pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On March 30, 2012, defendants filed a motion for summary
18	judgment. To date, plaintiff has not filed either an opposition or a statement of non-opposition to
19	the motion for summary judgment.
20	Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that within fourteen (14) days
21	plaintiff shall show cause in writing why defendants' motion for summary judgment should not
22	be granted.
23	/////
24	/////
25	/////
26	/////
	1

1	Failure to respond to this order will result in a recommendation <sup>1</sup> that this action be dismissed
2	with prejudice pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).
3	DATED: September 11, 2012.
4	
5	Dale A. Droget DALE A. DROZD
6	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7	
8	DAD:dpw DAD1/prisoner-civil rights/trej2381.osc
9	DAD1/prisoner-ervir rights/trej2501.ose
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25 26	<sup>1</sup> Defendants have neither consented to nor declined to consent to magistrate judge jurisdiction.