Hardesty et	al v.	Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management Dist	rict et al	Doc	
	1	G. DAVID ROBERTSON, ESQ.	GLENN W. PETERSON, ESQ.		
	2	California State Bar No. 11984 Robertson, Johnson, Miller & Williamson	California State Bar No. 126173 Millstone Peterson & Watts, LLP		
	3	50 West Liberty Street, Suite 600 Reno, Nevada 89501	2267 Lava Ridge Court, Suite 210 Roseville, CA 95661		
	4	Telephone No: (775) 329-5600	Telephone No: (916) 780-8222		
		Facsimile No: (775) 348-8300	Facsimile No: (916) 780-8775		
	5	R. PAUL YETTER, ESQ. (pro hac vice) COLLIN J. COX, ESQ. (pro hac vice)	RICHARD M. ROSS, ESQ. California State Bar No. 59943		
	6	ROBERT K. ELLIS, ESQ. (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Yetter Coleman LLP	8081 North Forbes Road Lincoln, California 95648		
	7	909 Fannin, Suite 3600	Telephone No: (916) 645-3713		
	8	Houston, Texas 77010 Telephone No: (713) 632-8000	Attorneys JAY L. SCHNEIDER,		
	9	Facsimile No: (713) 632-8002	SUSAN J. SCHNEIDER, JAKE J.		
	9	Attorneys for JOSEPH HARDESTY	SCHNEIDER, LELAND A. SCHNEIDER, KATHERINE A. SCHNEIDER, LELAND		
	10	and YVETTE HARDESTY	H. SCHNEIDER, AND JARED T. SCHNEIDER		
	11				
	12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SACRAMENTO DIVISION			
	13				
	13	JOSEPH HARDESTY, et al,	Case No. 2:10-cv-2414 KJM KJN		
		Plaintiffs,			
	15				
	16	VS.			
	17	SACRAMENTO METROPOLITAN AIR	Construction 2		
	18	QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT, et al.,	Courtroom: 3 Judge: The Honorable Kimberly J. Mueller		
	19		Trial Date: February 16, 2017Action Filed: September 8, 2010		
20		Defendants.	_)		
	21	STIPULATION AND ORDER REGARDING POST-TRIAL FILING DATES RELATED TO FEES AND COSTS, POST-TRIAL MOTIONS AND FOR TEMPORARY STAY OF EXECUTION			
	22				
	23	In order to coordinate and streamline pos	st-trial filing dates related to fees and costs, an	d in	
	24	order to relieve all parties and the court from the associated workloads during the pendency defendants' post-trial motions, and to further alleviate the uncertainty associated with the prospect			
	25				
	26	judgment enforcement in this matter, the parti	judgment enforcement in this matter, the parties, through their respective undersigned counse		
	27	stipulate as follows:			
MILLSTON Peterson Watts, LL	28				

533

1. Any and all initial filings related to plaintiffs' recovery of attorneys' fees and costs, including taxable and non-taxable costs, and whether due under 28 USC sections 1920, 1988, FRCP Rule 54(d), and Local Rules 292 and 293 or otherwise (herein "Cost Claims"), shall be filed together, on the same date, as set forth below. This Stipulation shall be without prejudice to plaintiffs' rights to file amended or supplemental filings related to the Cost Claims at a later date to the extent such filings may be permitted by the applicable rules and law or by leave of Court.

2. The parties stipulate that all filings related to the Cost Claims shall be filed by plaintiffs within 28 days after the date of entry of the Court's ruling on defendants' forthcoming post-trial motions, and that defendants shall have 14 days to oppose the Cost Claims. In the event that defendants timely file multiple post-trial motions and the Court disposes of the motions on different dates, that 28-day period will begin to run from the date of the Court's ruling on defendants' last pending timely filed post-trial motion.

3. Plaintiffs' written opposition papers responsive to defendants' post-trial motions shall be filed not later than fifty-six (56) days from the date said motions are filed. Defendants shall have twenty-one (21) days to file written reply papers.

4. Plaintiffs shall suspend and forebear any efforts to execute on the judgment from the date of this Stipulation up to and including 14 days after the last day for defendants to bring a timely appeal of the judgment. Forbearance on execution during the pendency of said appeal shall be subject to further agreements between the parties or proceedings before the Court as allowed by law. The parties mutually reserve all rights and privileges at law pertaining thereto.

5. This Stipulation shall be effective only upon entry of the Court's order adopting or approving same, and the parties hereby consent to the entry of an order based upon this Stipulation.

Dated: This 9th day of June, 2017	By: /s/ Glenn W. Peterson
	Glenn W. Peterson, Esq. (SBN 126173)
	MILLSTONE PETERSON & WATTS, LLP
	2267 Lava Ridge Court, Suite 210
	Roseville, California 95661
	Richard M. Ross, Esq. (SBN 59943)
	8081 North Forbes Road
	Lincoln, California 95648

1	Attorneys for the Schneider Family Plaintiffs		
2	By: /s/ R. Paul Yetter		
3	R. Paul Yetter, Esq. (pro hac vice)		
4	Collin J. Cox, Esq. (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Robert K. Ellis, Esq. (<i>pro hac vice</i>)		
	YETTER COLEMAN LLP		
5	909 Fannin, Suite 3600 Houston, Texas 77010s		
6			
7	G. David Robertson, Esq. (SBN 111984) ROBERTSON, JOHNSON, MILLER & WILLIAMSON		
8	50 W. Liberty Street, Suite 600		
9	Reno, Nevada 89501		
10	Attorneys for the Hardesty Plaintiffs		
11	By: /s/ Mark P. O'Dea		
12	Gregory P. O'Dea, Esq. Mark P. O'Dea, Esq.		
	LONGYEAR, O'DEA & LAVRA, LLP		
13	3620 American River Drive, Suite 230 Sacramento, CA 95864		
14			
15	Attorneys for Defendants		
16			
17	I hereby attest that I have on file all holograph signatures for any signatures indicated by a "conformed" signature (/s/) within this e-filed document		
18	within this e-filed document. MILLSTONE PETERSON & WATTS, LLP, Attorneys at Law /s/ Glenn W. Peterson		
19			
20	ORDER		
21	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
22	Dated: June 12, 2017		
23			
24	MA Migles /		
25	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
26			
27			
28			