

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NATHAN ANTHONY DORRIS,

Petitioner,

No. 2:10-cv-02482 FCD KJN P

vs.

D. ADAMS, Warden,

Respondent.

ORDER

\_\_\_\_\_ /

Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel with an application for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. On January 14, 2011, respondent filed a motion to dismiss petitioner’s application for a writ of habeas corpus on statute of limitations grounds. (Dkt. No. 13.) Petitioner has not filed an opposition to the motion. Local Rule 230(l) provides in part: “Failure of the responding party to file written opposition or to file a statement of no opposition may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the motion . . . .”

Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that petitioner show cause, within thirty days, why his failure to oppose respondent’s January 14, 2011 motion to dismiss should not be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the motion, and file an opposition to the motion to dismiss. Petitioner is cautioned that failure to respond to the instant


////

1 order, or to file an opposition to the pending motion to dismiss, will result in a recommendation  
2 that this action be dismissed.

3 DATED: March 31, 2011

4

5

  
KENDALL J. NEWMAN  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

6

7 dorr2482.46hab.kjn

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26