

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

EVELYN DAVIDSON,

Plaintiff,

CIV. NO. S-10-2502 FCD GGH PS

vs.

CARL E. KORMAN, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER

\_\_\_\_\_ /

Plaintiff has filed a document styled, "Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendants' Motion Due to Procedural Error: Defendants' Failure to Serve." Plaintiff states that she did not receive defendants' motion to dismiss and therefore did not file an opposition. She became aware of the motion when she received defendants' reply. Plaintiff's filing will be construed as a request for an extension of time to file an opposition to defendants' motion. Defendants have filed a response indicating that they have no opposition to an extension of 14 days.

Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. Plaintiff's November 1, 2010 (dkt. # 51) request for an extension of time is granted; and

\\\\\\

\\\\\\

