(PC) Alford v	v. Kelly et al	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	RICKEY LOUIS ALFORD,	
11	Plaintiff,	No. CIV S-10-2515 FCD DAD P
12	vs.	
13	CRIST KELLY, et al.,	
14	Defendant.	<u>ORDER</u>
15		/
16	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action	
17	seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate	
18	Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
19	On October 12, 2010, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations	
20	herein which were served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections	
21	to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty-one days. Plaintiff has not	
22	filed objections to the findings and recommendations.	
23	The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be	
24	supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY	
25	ORDERED that:	
26	/////	
		1

Doc. 4

1	1. The findings and recommendations filed October 12, 2010, are adopted in full;
2	and
3	2. This action is dismissed without prejudice as improperly filed in this court.
4	See 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a).
5	DATED: November 29, 2010.
6	Man C mmy
7	FRANK C. DAMRELL, JR. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	