

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

KENNETH BUFORD POLLARD, III,

Petitioner,

No. 2:10-cv-2545 KJN P

vs.

COLE, et al.,

ORDER and

Respondents.

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

\_\_\_\_\_ /

A recent court order was served on petitioner’s address of record and returned by the postal service. It appears that petitioner has failed to comply with Local Rule 182(f), which requires that a party appearing in propria persona inform the court of any address change.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of Court randomly draw a district judge; and

IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed for petitioner's failure to keep the court apprised of his current address. See Local Rules 182(f) and 110 (E.D. Cal. 2010).

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, petitioner may file written

1 objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's  
2 Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the objections shall be filed and served  
3 within fourteen days after service of the objections. Petitioner is advised that failure to file  
4 objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order.  
5 Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

6 DATED: October 5, 2010

7  
8  
9   
10 KENDALL J. NEWMAN  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

11 poll2545.133a  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26