

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DOWELL McLAUGHLIN,  
et al.,

NO. CIV. S-10-2551 LKK/GGH

Plaintiffs,

v.

O R D E R

COUNTY OF EL DORADO,  
et al.,

Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_ /

Defendants City of South Lake Tahoe, et al., move for an order enforcing that portion of their settlement agreement with plaintiffs that requires "Plaintiffs to comply with the terms of the Agreement by applying to this Court for appointment of a GAL [Guardian Ad Litem], or in some fashion ensuring that the minor children are brought within the jurisdiction of this Court."

The plaintiffs have filed a Statement of Non-Opposition, asserting that defendants simply have not given them enough time to accomplish the application and consequent amendment of the complaint (Dkt. No. 43). All other parties (that is, the remaining defendants) have also filed a Statement of Non-Opposition.

1           1. Defendants' unopposed motion - to the degree it seeks to  
2 compel plaintiffs to seek a guardian ad litem, as implicitly agreed  
3 to in the settlement agreement (according to defendants) - is  
4 **GRANTED**;<sup>1</sup>

5           2. As provided by Fed. R. Civ. P. 17(c) and E.D. Cal.  
6 R. 202(a), plaintiffs shall apply to this Court - no later than  
7 November 21, 2011 - for the appointment of a Guardian Ad Litem for  
8 the minor children whose claims are sought to be compromised in  
9 this case; and

10           3. No later than November 21, 2011, plaintiffs shall file  
11 a motion to amend the complaint to include the Guardian Ad Litem;  
12 and


13           4. The hearing scheduled for November 21, 2011 is **VACATED**.  
14 IT IS SO ORDERED.

15 DATED: November 10, 2011.

16

17

18

  
LAWRENCE K. KARLTON  
SENIOR JUDGE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

19

20

21

22

23

24

---

25           <sup>1</sup> This order does not enforce the overall settlement  
26 agreement, which has yet to be shown to be in the best interests  
of the minor children.