

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DOWELL McLAUGHLIN,
et al.,

NO. CIV. S-10-2551 LKK/GGH

Plaintiffs,

v.

O R D E R

COUNTY OF EL DORADO,
et al.,

Defendants.

_____ /

1. Plaintiffs' motions to amend the First Amended Complaint, and for appointment of a Guardian Ad Litem (Dkt. No. 48), shall remain on the December 5, 2011 calendar with its current briefing schedule;

2. The December 5, 2011 hearing on the cross-motions for summary judgment (Dkt. Nos. 36 & 44), which motions are based upon the above-referenced First Amended Complaint, is **VACATED**;

a. If the parties choose, they may renew their cross-motions, or file replacement motions (including a renewed or replacement motion for approval of the minors' compromise), after the court has ruled on the motions to amend and appoint a Guardian

1 Ad Litem ("GAL").

2 b. Such renewed or replacement motions, if any, shall
3 be filed no later than two weeks after the court has ruled on the
4 motions to amend and for appointment of a GAL;

5 3. Plaintiffs' "ex parte" application (Dkt. No. 33) to
6 modify the scheduling order is denied as moot.¹

7 IT IS SO ORDERED.

8 DATED: November 18, 2011.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19


20

21

22

23

24


LAWRENCE K. KARLTON
SENIOR JUDGE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

25

26

¹ Plaintiffs asserted that they needed additional time to get a transcript for their summary judgment motion. They now have the time available to get the transcript for use in their renewed or replacement summary judgment motion.