| (PC)Smith v | . C.D.C.   |
|-------------|--|
|             |  |
|             |  |
|             |  |
|             |  |
| 1           |  |
| 2           |  |
| 3           |  |
| 4           |  |
| 5           |  |
| 6           |  |
| 7           |  |
| 8           | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  |
| 9           | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA   |
| 10          | KENNETH SMITH,   |
| 11          | Plaintiff, No. 2: 10-cv-2561 KJN P   |
| 12          | VS.  |
| 13          | C.D.C. PAROLE DIVISION, et al.,  |
| 14          | Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>   |
| 15          |  |
| 16          | Plaintiff is proceeding without counsel with a civil rights action. Plaintiff has            |
| 17          | consented to the jurisdiction of the undersigned. (Dkt. No. 5.)                              |
| 18          | On January 20, 2011, the court ordered plaintiff to show cause within twenty-one             |
| 19          | days why this action should not be dismissed for his failure to file an amended complaint in |
| 20          | accordance with the December 9, 2010 order. Twenty-one days passed and plaintiff did not     |
| 21          | respond to the January 20, 2011 order.   |
| 22          | Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this action is dismissed.                             |
| 23          | DATED: February 23, 2011   |
| 24          | Kerdal J. Newman   |
| 25          | KENDALL J. NEWMAN<br>UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  |
| 26          | sm2561.dis   |
|             | 1  |

Doc. 10