1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	CARL FOUNTAIN,
12	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-10-2633-KJM-DAD
13	VS.
14	A. LEBRON, et al.,
15	Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>
16	/
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding with counsel, has filed this civil rights action
18	seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate
19	Judge as provided by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.
20	On April 18, 2012, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations,
21	which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to
22	the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Neither party has filed
23	objections to the findings and recommendations.
24	The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United
25	States, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are
26	reviewed de novo. See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir.
	1

1	1983). Having carefully reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to
2	be supported by the record and by the proper analysis.
3	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
4	1. The findings and recommendations filed April 18, 2012, are adopted in full;
5	and
6	2. Defendants' February 3, 2012, motion for summary judgment (Doc. No. 46) is
7	denied.
8	DATED: September 5, 2012.
9	A Mulo /
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24 25	
25 26	
20	
	2

I