1	DeVRIES LAW FIRM		
2	Douglas K. deVries (SBN 70633) 641 Fulton Avenue, Suite 200 Sacramento, CA 95825		
3	Sacramento, CA 95825 Telephone: 916.473.4343 Facsimile: 916.473-4342 Email: dkd@dkdlaw.com Attorneys for Plaintiff Margaret A. Green BURKE, WILLIAMS & SORENSEN, LLP Michael B. Bernacchi (SBN 163657) E-mail: mbernacchi@bwslaw.com		
4			
5			
6			
7	444 South Flower Street. Suite 2400		
8	Los Angeles, CA 90071-2953 Telephone: 213.236.0600 Facsimile: 213.236.2700 Attorneys for Defendant Hartford Life and Accident Insurance Company		
9			
10	Accident Insurance Company		
11			
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
13	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
14			
15	MARGARET A. GREEN,	Case No. 2:10-CV-02658-GEB-KJN	
16	Plaintiff,	AMENDED STIPULATED CONFIDENTIALITY AGREEMENT	
17	V.	AND PROTECTIVE ORDER	
18	HARTFORD LIFE AND ACCIDENT INSURANCE		
19	COMPANY,	Hon. Garland E. Burrell, Jr.	
20	Defendant.		
21			
22			
23	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the parties		
24	to this action, Plaintiff Margaret A. Green ("Plaintiff") and Defendant Hartford Life		
25	and Accident Insurance Company ("Hartford"), that certain documents, materials or		
26	information to be produced by Hartford in discovery (as described in Paragraph 1)		
27	shall be subject to the following Confidentiality Agreement with respect to		
28	confidentiality and privacy, subject to	the approval of the Court.	
MS &			

BURKE, WILLIAMS & SORENSEN, LLP Attorneys At Law Los Angeles The information/items governed by this Stipulated Confidentiality
 Agreement are: (1) any part of Hartford's claims handling procedures, policies and
 guidelines to be produced as part of its responses to the request for production of
 documents and responses to interrogatories; and, (2) the table of contents for the
 LTD Product Manual. Any further documents to be designated as subject to this
 Stipulated Confidentiality Agreement will be designated in addendum(s) to this
 Stipulated Confidentiality Agreement and submitted to the Court for approval.

8 The documents, materials or information identified in Paragraph 1 of 2. 9 this Stipulated Confidentiality Agreement contain or constitute confidential, 10 private, proprietary and/or trade secret information as defined by California Civil 11 Code § 3426.1 and FRCP Rule 26(c)(1)(G), and shall be subject to the terms of this 12 Stipulated Confidentiality Agreement. Said documents, materials or information, 13 including all information contained therein, and all copies, descriptions, summaries, 14 notes, abstracts or portions of pleadings or transcripts which contain or are derived 15 from such information, hereinafter shall be referred to as "the Information."

3. The Information shall be used solely for the purpose of this action. No
part of the Information shall be disclosed to any person or otherwise made public
except pursuant to this Stipulated Confidentiality Agreement.

19 4. The Information may be disclosed only to the persons described in the 20 following sentence, only to the extent that such person is performing work in 21 connection with this action, and only to the extent necessary to perform that work. 22 Such persons are: (a) the Parties to this action; (b) counsel of record for the Parties; 23 (c) any person regularly employed by such counsel, including legal assistants, 24 secretaries, law clerks, investigators, associates and contract attorneys; (d) actual or 25 prospective experts and consultants retained or consulted by a Party or a Party's 26 counsel in the course of this action; (e) any potential deposition and trial witnesses, 27 to the extent counsel deems it necessary for and relevant to the testimony of such 28witnesses; and (f) this Court, its staff, and jurors empanelled in the trial of this

BURKE, WILLIAMS & SORENSEN, LLP Attorneys At Law Los Angeles

LA #4843-1096-2185 v1

- 2 -

lawsuit. These persons shall not disclose, discuss or reveal the Information, its
 contents or existence, or the documents containing the Information to any other
 person or entity not specifically identified in this Paragraph. Upon demand,
 Plaintiff's counsel and these persons will return the Information and all copies of
 documents relating thereto to Defendant's attorneys at the conclusion of this action.

5. No copies, summaries, digests, notes or descriptions of the Information
shall be provided by a Party or its counsel for distribution to persons other than
those described in Paragraph 4.

9 6. Persons to whom access to the Information is given pursuant to this 10 Stipulated Confidentiality Agreement shall keep such material and any copies, 11 extracts, summaries, notes or descriptions thereof secure in accordance with the 12 purposes and intent of this Stipulated Confidentiality Agreement and shall adopt 13 and employ all suitable precautions to ensure continued confidentiality, non-use and 14 non-disclosure. No disclosure shall be made to any person pursuant to Paragraph 15 4(d) or 4(e) until such person has executed either this Stipulated Confidentiality 16 Agreement or a written Understanding and Agreement to be bound by this 17 Stipulated Confidentiality Agreement in the form attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

Upon request of any Party, the original transcript and any and all
 copies of any deposition containing (a) documents pertaining to the Information as
 exhibits, or (b) testimony relating to the Information, shall be marked on its cover
 "CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION. DO NOT DISCLOSE
 EXCEPT BY COURT ORDER." Disclosure of any copy of such deposition
 transcript or any document attached as a deposition exhibit shall be restricted to the
 deponent, his or her counsel of record, and persons designated in Paragraph 4.

8. Any party who intends to file documents which discusses or discloses
 any Information subject to this Stipulated Confidentiality Agreement with the Court
 must comply with Eastern District of California Local Rules 140(d) and 141(b).
 Specifically, the Parties may disclose the Information to the Court in connection

BURKE, WILLIAMS & SORENSEN, LLP Attorneys At Law Los Angeles

1 with motions filed in this case or at trial in this case along with a stipulation (and/or 2 motion if necessary) to file the documents under seal pursuant to Local Rule 141. 3 The Information will be provided to the Court in a sealed envelope and will be 4 marked "Confidential: Subject to Protective Order." The Court will decide whether 5 to seal the Information and all references to the information in any other 6 documents, or in any reporter's transcript. Any party who intends to file documents 7 which discusses or discloses any Information subject to this Stipulated 8 Confidentiality Agreement shall give seven (7) days' notice of the same to all 9 parties in the action.

9. Production of the documents or disclosure of the Information protected
 by this Stipulated Confidentiality Agreement shall not constitute a waiver of any
 confidentiality, privacy right or privilege. Moreover, Defendant retains the right to
 assert all substantive objections to the Information and/or documents containing the
 Information, including but not limited to relevancy, hearsay, privacy, privilege and
 Federal Rule Of Evidence, Rule 403.

16 10. Any part of the Information may be removed from the scope of this
17 Stipulated Confidentiality Agreement by agreement of the Parties or by Court
18 order.

19 11. Should any Party violate the terms of this Stipulated Confidentiality
20 Agreement, and should legal action be necessary as a result of any violation or
21 threatened violation of this Stipulated Confidentiality Agreement, the prevailing
22 Party shall recover any damages allowed under the law.

12. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained herein, any Party
may move for a modification of this Stipulated Confidentiality Agreement at any
time that the interests of justice appear to so require. Further, nothing in this
Stipulated Confidentiality Agreement shall be construed as affecting the Parties'
obligations with respect to the Information as required by law.

///

28

BURKE, WILLIAMS & SORENSEN, LLP Attorneys At Law Los Angeles

LA #4843-1096-2185 v1

- 4 -

13. This Stipulated Confidentiality Agreement shall be binding on any and 2 all Parties who sign below.

3 14. The reason why the need for protection should be addressed by a 4 Court as opposed to a private agreement by or among the parties is as follows: 5 It is undisputed that the manual is proprietary information and its general disclosure 6 poses a significant risk of injury to Hartford. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c)(7). Indeed, 7 Hartford created the claims manual at considerable expense and it contains a wealth 8 of information about the company's practices, procedures and business techniques. 9 Access to the foregoing documents would give Hartford's competitors an unfair 10 advantage of obtaining, at no cost, Hartford's valuable and innovative business 11 techniques, programs, processes and information that were developed as a result of 12 Hartford's significant investment of time, manpower, and financial resources. This 13 would dilute the effectiveness of Hartford's investment and cause harm to 14 Hartford's competitive position. In addition, others could use information in the 15 Manual to facilitate improper claim submissions. Adams v.Allstate Ins. Co., 189 16 F.R.D. 331, 332-33 (E.D. Pa. 1999).

17 With respect to why a private agreement will not suffice, it must be 18 remembered that this action alleges not just breach of contract, but also the tort of 19 insurance bad faith. As such, it seeks tort damages, including punitive damages. In 20 addition to counsel, the documents will of necessity be shared with witnesses, 21 including *expert witnesses* who regularly participate in numerous other such cases. 22 In other words, persons who will see such documents may have a self-serving 23 interest in exploiting access to them for the own use. It is important to Hartford that 24 persons who come into possession of sensitive proprietary internal company 25 documents in the course of this litigation immediately understand that they are 26 subject to a court order, as opposed merely to the wishes of a party. An order, 27 unlike merely a stipulation, will serve to impress upon such persons the seriousness 28of the need to maintain confidentiality, and that breach of confidentiality with

URKE, WILLIAMS & SORENSEN, LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELES

1

LA #4843-1096-2185 v1

- 5 -

1	respect to the documents is subject to	sanction from outset. A protective court order		
2		*		
	obtained only after the fact will be too late to undo the immediate damage to			
3 defendant that will already be done upon distribution of the documents				
4 it is anticipated that dispositive motions will be filed in this case, and th				
5	5 confidential documents will be filed with the court under seal in conjunction			
6	therewith. Having a protective court order already in place will serve the interests			
7	of judicial time and efficiency.			
8				
9	Dated: August 2, 2011	deVries Law Firm		
10				
11		By: <u>/s/Douglas K. deVries</u> Douglas K. deVries		
12		dkd@dkdlaw.com Attorneys for Plaintiff Margaret A. Green		
13				
14	Dated: August 2, 2011	Burke, Williams & Sorensen, LLP		
15	2			
16		By <u>:/s/Michael B. Bernacchi</u> Michael B. Bernacchi		
17		mbernacchi@bwslaw.com		
18		Attorneys for Defendant Hartford Life and Accident Insurance Company		
19				
20				
20				
21 22				
22				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28 Burke, Williams &				
SORENSEN, LLP Attorneys At Law Los Angeles	LA #4843-1096-2185 v1	- 6 - AMENDED STIPULATED CONFIDENTIALITY AGREEMENT AND PROTECTIVE ORDER		

1	EXHIBIT 1		
2			
3	UNDERSTANDING AND AGREEMENT PURSUANT		
4	TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		
5			
6	I hereby state that I have read a copy of the Stipulated Confidentiality		
7	Agreement and Protective Order in Margaret A. Green v. Hartford Life and		
8	Accident Insurance Company, Case No. 10-CV-02658-GEB-KJN, pending in the		
9	9 United States District Court, Eastern District of California. I understand and ag		
10	to be bound by its terms.		
11			
12	Dated:		
13	Signature		
14	Drinted Norma		
15	Printed Name		
16			
17	Address		
18	Address		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
BURKE, WILLIAMS & SORENSEN, LLP Attorneys At Law Los Angeles	LA #4843-1096-2185 v1 - 7 - AMENDED STIPULATED CONFIDENTIALITY AGREEMENT AND PROTECTIVE ORDER		

1	PROTECTIVE ORDER		
2	Upon consideration of the Amended Stipulated Confidentiality Agreement		
3	and Protective Order ("Agreement and Protective Order") between plaintiff and		
4	defendant, the court hereby APPROVES of the Agreement and Protective Order		
5	and orders the parties to comply with its terms, except that the court and its staff		
6	identified in paragraph 4(f) of the Agreement shall not be subject to the special		
7	document-related procedures imposed by the Agreement, including those provided		
8	in the third and fourth sentences of paragraph 4 and paragraph 6.		
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
10	DATED: August 3, 2011		
11	Fordall & Newman		
12	KENDALL J. NEWMAN		
13	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28 BUDKE WILLIAMS &			
BURKE, WILLIAMS & SORENSEN, LLP Attorneys At Law Los Angeles	LA #4843-1096-2185 v1 - 8 - AMENDED STIPULATED CONFIDENTIALITY AGREEMENT AND PROTECTIVE ORDER		