LaTourelle v. Barber et al Doc. 91

,	CDINELLI DONALD & NOTE		
1	SPINELLI, DONALD & NOTT A Professional Corporation		
2	Domenic D. Spinelli, SBN: 131192 - domenics@ Jarrett S. Osborne-Revis: 289193 - jarretto@sdnl		
3	815 S Street, Second Floor Sacramento, CA 95811		
4	Telephone: (916) 448-7888 Facsimile: (916) 448-6888		
5 6	ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS TERRY BARBER and COUNTY OF SISKIY	OU	
7	Attorney at Law LLC		
8	Thomas Dimitre, SBN: 276924 - dimitre@mind.net P.O. Box 801		
9	Ashland, OR 97530 Telephone: (541) 890-5022 Facsimile: (541) 488-4601		
10	Attorney for Plaintiff,		
11	RUTH LaTOURELLE		
12	IN THE UNITED STA	TES DISTRICT COURT	
13	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
14	FOR THE EASTERN DIS	STRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
15	RUTH LaTOURELLE,	Case No.: 2:10-CV-2667 MCE CMK	
16	Plaintiff,	JOINT STIPULATION AND ORDER	
17	VS.	REQUESTING SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE PURSUANT TO LOCAL	
18	TERRY BARBER, COUNTY OF SISKIYOU, SISKIYOU COUNTY BOARD	RULES 270 AND 271	
19	OF SUPERVISORS,	Trial Date: December 14, 2015	
20	Defendants.		
21			
22	//		
23	//		
24	//		
25	///		
26	///		
27	///		
28			

1	Defendants, TERRY BARBER and COUNTY OF SISKIYOU, and Plaintiff RUTH		
2	LaTOURELLE, through the undersigned counsel of record, hereby stipulate and request a		
3	settlement conference pursuant to Local Rules 270.		
4	SO STIPULATED:		
5	5		
6	<i>y</i> II	SPINELLI, DONALD & NOTT A Professional Corporation	
7		control corporation	
8	<u>By:</u>	/s/ Jarrett S. Osborne-Revis	
9)	Domenic D. Spinelli Jarrett S. Osborne-Revis	
10)	Attorneys for Defendants COUNTY OF	
11	1	SISKIYOU and TERRY BARBER	
12	THO	MAS DIMITRE, ATTORNEY AT LAW LLC	
13	Dated: June 17, 2015		
14	<u>By:</u>	/s/ Thomas N. Dimitre	
15	5	Thomas N. Dimitre, Attorney for Plaintiff,	
16	5	RUTH LaTOURELLE	
17	7		
18	3		
19			
20)		
21	1		
22	2		
23	3		
24	4		
25			
26			
27			
28			

ORDER Based on the Joint Stipulation of the parties, this matter is hereby referred to the Court's Alternative Dispute Resolution Division to schedule a settlement conference before a magistrate judge. The assigned magistrate judge will issue a scheduling order for the settlement conference, and counsel is instructed to have a principal with full settlement authority present at the conference or to be fully authorized to settle the matter on any terms. The parties are ordered to file status reports with this Court not later than seven days after the conclusion of the settlement conference. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: June 30, 2015 MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR., CHIEF JUDGE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT