1	
1 2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	ERNIE ROXAS,
11	Petitioner, No. CIV S-10-2672 DAD P
12	VS.
13	A. MONTAL,
14	Respondent. <u>ORDER</u>
15	/
16	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a petition for a writ of
17	habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner has also filed an application to proceed
18	in forma pauperis and paid the filing fee.
19	"A petitioner for habeas corpus relief must name the state officer having custody
20	of him or her as the respondent to the petition." Stanley v. California Supreme Court, 21 F.3d
21	359, 360 (9th Cir. 1994) (citing Rule 2(a), 28 U.S.C. foll. § 2254). Petitioner has named A.
22	Montal as the respondent in this action. A. Montal, however, is not the proper respondent.
23	Accordingly, the instant petition must be dismissed with leave to amend. See Stanley, 21 F.3d at
24	360. Petitioner is advised that the proper respondent in the usual habeas action is the warden of
25	the institution where the petitioner is currently incarcerated. See Stanley, 21 F.3d at 360.
26	/////
	1

1	In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
2	1. Petitioner's motion to proceed in forma pauperis (Doc. No. 2) is denied as
3	unnecessary;
4	2. Petitioner's application for writ of habeas corpus is dismissed with leave to file
5	an amended petition within thirty days from the date of this order;
6	3 Any amended petition must be filed on the form employed by this court, must
7	name the proper respondent, and must state all claims and prayers for relief on the form. It must
8	bear the case number assigned to this action and must bear the title "Amended Petition"; and
9	4. The Clerk of the Court is directed to send petitioner the form for habeas corpus
10	application.
11	DATED: March 8, 2011.
12	2
13	Dale A. Droget
14	DAD:9 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2

I