IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

THOMAS G. WILLIAMS,

Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-10-2769 KJM DAD P

VS.

ARAMARK FOOD SERVICES,

Defendants.

FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS

15 16

17

18

19

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

By order filed July 18, 2011, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed and thirty days leave to file an amended complaint was granted. Plaintiff was cautioned that failure to do so would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. The thirty day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court's order.

21

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

23

22

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen

2425

days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections

26 v

with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings

and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). DATED: September 1, 2011. UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE DAD:9 will2769.fta