

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DENNL Y R. BECKER; THE BECKER
TRUST DATED MARCH 25, 1991,

Plaintiffs,

No. 2:10-cv-02799 LKK KJN PS

v.

WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., INC., et al.

Defendants.

ORDER

_____/

Plaintiffs Denny Becker (“Becker”) and the Becker Trust Dated March 25, 1991 (“Becker Trust”) (collectively, the “plaintiff”¹) is proceeding without counsel in this action.² A review of the court’s docket reflects that on August 30, 2011, plaintiff filed a “Motion to Amend Complaint.” (Dkt. No. 62). As stated in the Judge Karlton’s minute order of September 1, 2011, however, plaintiff defectively noticed that motion. (Dkt. No. 64.) Judge Karlton’s minute order of September 1, 2011, also advised plaintiff to “properly re-notice the motion to be heard before

¹ The undersigned treats Becker and the Becker Trust as a singular plaintiff because, as discussed in the court’s order dated December 13, 2010 (Dkt. No. 21), Becker is the sole beneficiary of the Becker Trust.

² This matter was referred to the undersigned pursuant to Eastern District Local Rule 302(c)(21).


1 Magistrate Judge Kendall J. Newman.” (Dkt. No. 64.)

2 To date, however, plaintiff has not re-noticed his motion before Judge Newman.
3 Accordingly, plaintiff’s “Motion to Amend Complaint” (Dkt. No. 62) is hereby denied without
4 prejudice to refile. Should plaintiff choose to re-file his motion, such filing should be in
5 accordance with Local Rule 230(b) and (k).

6 IT IS SO ORDERED.

7 DATED: September 15, 2011

8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26


KENDALL J. NEWMAN
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE