1

2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	STEVEN GEORGE HARPER, No. CIV S-10-2819-CMK	
12	Plaintiff,	
13	vs. <u>ORDER</u>	
14	COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,	
15	Defendant.	
16	/	
17	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
18	Plaintiff, who is proceeding with retained counsel, brings this action for judicial	
19	review of a final decision of the Commissioner of Social Security under 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). On	
20	August 25, 2011, the court directed plaintiff to show cause in writing why this action should not	
21	be dismissed for failure to file a dispositive motion, as required by the court's scheduling order.	
22	Plaintiff filed a response on September 26, 2011, and then filed his dispositive motion on	
23	September 28, 2011. The order to show cause is, therefore, discharged.	
24	///	
25	///	
26	///	
	1	

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: January 6, 2012

ma **CRAIG M. KELLISON** UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE