1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	LAMONT JOHNSON,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-10-2839 GEB CKD PS
12	VS.
13	WACHOVIA BANK FSB, et al., ORDER
14	Defendants.
15	/
16	Plaintiff is proceeding in this action pro se. On March 26, 2012, plaintiff was
17	ordered to show cause in writing why this action should not be dismissed for failure to comply
18	with the court's orders and for failure to timely serve process. Plaintiff has filed a response to the
19	order to show cause.
20	Plaintiff contends he did not timely serve process because of the pendency of
21	certain class actions. This action has been pending since May 11, 2010. Although plaintiff
22	contends defendant Wells Fargo was properly served in this matter, there is no evidence
23	submitted in support of plaintiff's contention. Plaintiff will therefore be granted additional time
24	to complete service of process in compliance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4.
25	In the response to the order to show cause, plaintiff asserts he intends to dismiss
26	all defendants except for defendant Wells Fargo. The court will construe plaintiff's filing as a
	1

request to voluntarily dismiss the remaining defendants under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure
 41(a).

3 Plaintiff also asserts that he intends to amend the complaint. An amended complaint has already been filed in this action. See dkt. no. 15. As such, leave of court is 4 5 required before plaintiff may file an amended complaint. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 15. Because 6 defendant has not yet been served and plaintiff has not submitted a proposed amended complaint, 7 the court cannot determine at this time whether leave to amend should be granted. Plaintiff is advised that any motion for leave to amend the complaint must be properly noticed for hearing, 8 9 must be accompanied by a proposed amended complaint, and said motion may not be filed until 10 defendant has been served with summons in this action.

11 12

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The March 26, 2012 order to show cause is discharged.

13 2. All defendants except defendant Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. are dismissed under
14 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a).

3. No later than May 11, 2012, plaintiff shall serve process on defendant Wells
Fargo Bank, N.A. in compliance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4 and shall file written
proof of said service with the court.

Dated: April 10, 2012

CAROLYN K. DELANEY UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

johnson-wachovia.osc.dis