

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

HAROLD MARK GALZINSKI,

Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-10-2860 KJM KJN (TEMP) P

vs.

CITY OF SACRAMENTO, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER


_____/

Plaintiff has requested the appointment of counsel. The United States Supreme Court has ruled that district courts lack authority to require counsel to represent indigent prisoners in § 1983 cases. Mallard v. United States Dist. Court, 490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989). In certain exceptional circumstances, the court may request the voluntary assistance of counsel pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). Terrell v. Brewer, 935 F.2d 1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991); Wood v. Housewright, 900 F.2d 1332, 1335-36 (9th Cir. 1990). In the present case, the court does not find the required exceptional circumstances. Plaintiff’s request for the appointment of counsel will therefore be denied.

///
///
///

1 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's request for the
2 appointment of counsel (Dkt. # 11) is denied.

3 DATED: July 5, 2011

4
5 
6 KENDALL J. NEWMAN
7 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

8 galz2860.31

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26