1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	KATHLEEN BASHAW, et al.,
12	Plaintiffs, No. CIV S-10-2869 KJM - DAD
13	vs.
14	THE BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON CORPORATION, et al.,
15	Defendants.
16	<u>Order</u>
17	
18	On September 28, 2011, this court issued an order to show cause why this case should
19	not be dismissed for plaintiffs' failure to prosecute. ECF 18. Plaintiffs did not respond. It is well
20	settled that a court may dismiss an action where plaintiff fails to diligently prosecute its case. See,
21	e.g., Oliva v. Sullivan, 958 F.2d 272 (9th Cir.1992); Chambers v. NASCO, Inc., 501 U.S. 32 (1991).
22	Accordingly, this case is DISMISSED and the clerk is order to CLOSE THIS CASE.
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.
24	DATED: October 25, 2011.
25	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
26	
	1
	Destat