

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

KIRK DOUGLAS WILLIAMS,

Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-10-2893 KJM CKD P

vs.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER

_____ /

Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On August 11, 2011, plaintiff filed a motion to stay this action until the completion of his administrative appeals concerning the allegations in this action. However, there is no mechanism for “staying” a section 1983 action, as compared to the “stay and abeyance option” available in habeas corpus actions. See Kilgore v. Mandeville, 2011 WL 4048406 at *2 (E.D. Cal. 2011) (same), citing Rhines v. Weber, 544 U.S. 269 (2005).

///
///
///
///
///

1 Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's August 11, 2011 motion to
2 stay (Dkt. No. 16) is denied.

3 Dated: October 5, 2011

4 
5 _____
6 CAROLYN K. DELANEY
7 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

8 2 / will2893.ord2

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26