| 1 | |
|----|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT |
| 9 | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA |
| 10 | RICHARD JOSE DUPREE, |
| 11 | Petitioner, No. CIV S-10-2900 WBS GGH P |
| 12 | vs. |
| 13 | CBS NETWORK, et al., |
| 14 | Respondents. <u>ORDER</u> |
| 15 | / |
| 16 | Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has timely filed a notice of appeal of |
| 17 | this court's January 18, 2011 summary dismissal of his defective application for a writ of habeas |
| 18 | corpus. Before petitioner can appeal this decision, a certificate of appealability must issue. 28 |
| 19 | U.S.C. § 2253(c); Fed. R. App. P. 22(b). |
| 20 | A certificate of appealability may issue under 28 U.S.C. § 2253 "only if the |
| 21 | applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. |
| 22 | § 2253(c)(2). The court must either issue a certificate of appealability indicating which issues |
| 23 | satisfy the required showing or must state the reasons why such a certificate should not issue. |
| 24 | Fed. R. App. P. 22(b). |
| 25 | ///// |
| 26 | ///// |
| | 1 |
| | |

For the reasons set forth in the magistrate judge's November 18, 2011 findings and recommendations, petitioner has not made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right. Accordingly, a certificate of appealability should not issue in this action. IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: February 8, 2011

5 shabe

WILLIAM B. SHUBB UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

9 /dupr2900.830d