(HC) Cervantes v. McDonald	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	CHRISTOPHER CERVANTES,
11	Petitioner, No. 2:10-cv-2906 KJN P
12	VS.
13	FRANCISCO JACQUEZ, Warden,
14	Respondent. <u>ORDER</u>
15	
16	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding without counsel with an application for a
17	writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner has consented to proceed before
18	the undersigned for all purposes. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(c). By order filed January 5, 2011,
19	petitioner's motion for stay and abeyance was denied, and petitioner's first amended petition was
20	dismissed, without prejudice, for failure to exhaust state remedies. Petitioner was granted leave
21	to file a second amended petition within thirty days. Thirty days have now passed, and petitioner
22	has failed to file a second amended petition, or otherwise respond to the court's order.
23	////
24	////
25	////
26	

Doc. 9

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this action is dismissed without

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).1

DATED: February 14, 2011

cerv2906.fta

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Petitioner is cautioned that the habeas corpus statute imposes a one year statute of limitations for filing non-capital habeas corpus petitions in federal court. In most cases, the one year period will start to run on the date on which the state court judgment became final by the conclusion of direct review or the expiration of time for seeking direct review, although the statute of limitations is tolled while a properly filed application for state post-conviction or other collateral review is pending. 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d).