1

I

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	AMMIEL CORNISH, No. CIV S-10-2921-CMK-P
12	Plaintiff,
13	vs. <u>ORDER</u>
14	CORRECTIONS CORPORATION OF AMERICA,
15	Defendant.
16	/
17	·
18	Plaintiff, a prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this civil rights action pursuant to
19	42 U.S.C. § 1983. For cases such as this, which are based on federal question jurisdiction, the
20	federal venue statute requires that the action be brought only in "(1) a judicial district where any
21	defendant resides, if all defendants reside in the same State, (2) a judicial district in which a
22	substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part
23	of property that is the subject of the action is situated, or (3) a judicial district in which any
24	defendant may be found, if there is no district in which the action may otherwise be brought."
25	28 U.S.C. § 1391(b). Here, the claim(s) arose in Florence, Arizona, which is within the
26	boundaries of the United States District Court for the District of Arizona. Therefore, the court
	1

finds that this action most appropriately proceeds in that district. In the interest of justice, the
court will transfer this case. See 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a).

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this matter is transferred to the United States District Court for the District of Arizona.

DATED: November 9, 2010

CRAIG M. KELLISON UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE