1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	ROBERT CHRISTOPHER JIMENEZ,
11	Plaintiff, No. 2:10-cv-2943 KJN P
12	VS.
13	J. WHITEFIELD, et al.,
14	Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>
15	/
16	Plaintiff, a state prisoner, is proceeding pro se with a civil rights action pursuant to
17	42 U.S.C. § 1983. By an order filed November 9, 2010, this court ordered plaintiff to complete
18	and return to the court, within thirty days, the USM-285 forms and copies of his March 22, 2010
19	amended complaint which are required to effect service on the defendants. On December 1,
20	2010, plaintiff submitted the USM-285 forms but failed to file eight, identical copies of the
21	endorsed amended complaint filed on March 22, 2010. Plaintiff will be granted an extension of
22	time in which to provide these documents.
23	On November 12, 2010, plaintiff filed a 39 page document entitled "Amend
24	Complaint." (Dkt. No. 16.) The Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provide that a party may
25	amend his or her pleading "once as a matter of course at any time before a responsive pleading is
26	served." Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a). Plaintiff previously amended his complaint on March 22, 2010.
	1

1 Therefore, plaintiff must seek leave of court if he wishes to amend his complaint again. Id. 2 Furthermore, plaintiff's action has been pending for over a year. Plaintiff filed his original 3 complaint on November 17, 2009, in the Northern District of California. Plaintiff's March 22, 4 2010 seven-page amended complaint is short and plain as required under Rule 8(a)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly, 550 U.S. 544, 555 (2007). If 5 plaintiff seeks leave to amend, he must explain the reason for his delay, as well as why he 6 7 believes an amendment is necessary. Plaintiff is advised that he is not required to submit exhibits with his complaint; exhibits are required in connection with dispositive motions and at 8 9 trial. Moreover, plaintiff has already separately filed exhibits (dkt. nos. 6 & 11). (See Dkt. No. 10 15.) In addition, plaintiff is cautioned that seeking leave to amend his complaint may delay 11 service of process of this action. Because plaintiff did not seek leave of court prior to submitting 12 his second proposed amended complaint, his November 12, 2010 filing will be disregarded. 13 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 14 1. The Clerk of the Court is directed to send plaintiff a copy of the amended 15 complaint filed March 22, 2010 (Dkt. No. 9); 16 2. Within thirty days, plaintiff shall submit to the court eight copies of the 17 endorsed amended complaint required to effect service. Each copy must be an exact duplicate of 18 the eight page amended complaint filed March 22, 2010. Failure to return the copies within the 19 specified time period will result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. 20 3. Plaintiff's November 12, 2010 filing (dkt. no. 16) is disregarded. 21 DATED: December 7, 2010 22 23 24 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 25 jime2943.8f 26 2

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	ROBERT CHRISTOPHER JIMENEZ,
11	Plaintiff, No. 2:10-cv-2943 KJN P
12	VS.
13	J. WHITEFIELD, et al.,
14	Defendants. <u>NOTICE OF SUBMISSION</u>
15	/ OF DOCUMENTS
16	Plaintiff hereby submits the following documents in compliance with the court's
17	order filed
18	copies of the March 22, 2010 Amended Complaint
19	
20	DATED:
21	
22	
23	Plaintiff
24	
25 26	
26	
	3