

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

WILLIAM CORDOBA,

Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-10-2944 DAD P

vs.

KATHLEEN L. DICKINSON, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER

_____/

Defendants Viera and Rivers have filed a motion for leave to take plaintiff's deposition via video-conference. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(b)(4), the court will grant defendants' motion. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. Defendants' motion for leave to conduct plaintiff's deposition via video-conference (Doc. No. 27) is granted; and

2. Nothing in this order shall be interpreted as requiring any state penal institution to obtain video-conferencing equipment if it is not already available.

DATED: September 28, 2011.

DAD:9
cord2944.depo



DALE A. DROZD
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE