

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ARIEL BALTHROPE,

Plaintiff,

No. 2:10-cv-3003-JAM-JFM (PS)

vs.

SACRAMENTO COUNTY OF  
HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES,  
et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER

\_\_\_\_\_ /  
This matter commenced on November 8, 2010 and is proceeding on a first amended complaint filed January 18, 2011. Service was ordered on May 26, 2011. A scheduling conference is set before the undersigned for December 1, 2011.


On June 15, 2011, plaintiff, proceeding pro se, filed a motion for writ to disclose names. Plaintiff seeks an order from this court directing the disclosure of the names of Sheriff's Deputies John Doe 1 and John Doe 2. Plaintiff asserts that, per an unidentified "records keeper," a court order is necessary to obtain these names because they "are not disclosed in the Sacramento County of California CAD Report Event No. 081970050 dated 7/15/2008."

At this posture, the undersigned does not find that a court order is necessary. There is no suggestion that the parties have conferred as required by Federal Rule of Civil

1 Procedure 26(f) or that the parties stipulated to commence discovery prior to the Rule 26(f)  
2 conference. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(d)(1). Thus, it has not yet been determined whether plaintiff  
3 may obtain these names through discovery.

4 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's motion for writ is denied  
5 without prejudice.

6 DATED: August 12, 2011.

7  
8   
9 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

10 /014;balt3003.jo  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26