(HC) Payne	v. Virga
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	GERALD J. PAYNE
11	Petitioner, No. CIV S-10-3016 GEB GGH
12	VS.
13	TIMOTHY VIRGA
14	ORDER Respondents.
15	
16	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a petition for a writ of
17	habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, together with an application to proceed in forma
18	pauperis. Examination of the in forma pauperis application reveals that petitioner is unable to
19	afford the costs of suit. Accordingly, the application to proceed in forma pauperis will be
20	granted. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a).
21	On December 8, 2010, the undersigned issued findings and recommendations that
22	this case be dismissed as petitioner was only seeking habeas relief for a 90 day suspension of
23	visiting privileges for a prison disciplinary finding.
24	On December 14, 2010, petitioner filed objections and indicated for the first time
25	that he was also placed in the SHU for a year.
26	On December 21, 2010, petitioner filed additional objections, and indicated for
	1

Doc. 10

the first time that he was assessed a 150 days loss of credits as a result of the disciplinary finding.

In addition, petitioner does not raise any actual claims in the petition, instead he wishes the court to obtain a videotape that may or may not reveal he is innocent of the charges. While petitioner can raise a discovery request at the suitable time, this does not present a viable claim for habeas relief.

As petitioner was assessed a loss of credits, he may seek habeas relief, however, petitioner has still failed to provide a suitable petition, instead submitting piecemeal filings. The December 8, 2010, order and findings and recommendations (Doc. 7) are vacated. Petitioner's petition is dismissed with leave to file an amended petition within 21 days of service of this order, no extensions will be granted. Petitioner must present all of his facts in one filing and present a viable claim. The court will not refer to multiple filings nor is it clear why petitioner did not present his case in the original petition.

Petitioner is informed that pursuant to the Local Rules, "every pleading to which an amendment or supplement is permitted as a matter of right or has been allowed by Court order shall be retyped and filed so that it is complete in itself without reference to the prior or superseded pleading." L.R. 220. This is because, as a general rule, an amended complaint supersedes an earlier complaint. <u>See Loux v. Rhay</u>, 375 F.2d 55, 57 (9th Cir.1967).

In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. Petitioner's application to proceed in forma pauperis is granted;
- 2. The December 8, 2010, order and findings and recommendations (Doc. 7) are
- 3. The petition is dismissed and petitioner is granted leave to file an amended petition within 21 days of service of this order, no extensions will be granted.

DATED: January 31, 2011

/s/ Gregory G. Hollows

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

6 GGH: AB - payn3016.vac

vacated;