(HC) Payne	v. Virga
1	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	GERALD J. PAYNE,
10	Petitioner, No. CIV S-10-3016 GEB GGH P
11	VS.
12	TIMOTHY VIRGA,
13	Respondent. <u>ORDER</u>
14	/
15	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se has timely filed a notice of appeal of
16	this court's March 14, 2011, dismissal at screening of his application for a writ of habeas corpus.
17	Before petitioner can appeal this decision, a certificate of appealability must issue. 28 U.S.C.
18	§ 2253(c); Fed. R. App. P. 22(b).
19	A certificate of appealability may issue under 28 U.S.C. § 2253 "only if the
20	applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C.
21	§ 2253(c)(2). The certificate of appealability must "indicate which specific issue or issues
22	satisfy" the requirement. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(3).
23	A certificate of appealability should be granted for any issue that petitioner can
24	demonstrate is "debatable among jurists of reason," could be resolved differently by a different
25	court, or is "adequate to deserve encouragement to proceed further." Jennings v. Woodford,
26	
	1

Doc. 17

290 F.3d 1006, 1010 (9th Cir. 2002) (quoting Barefoot v. Estelle, 463 U.S. 880, 893 (1983)).1

Petitioner has failed to make a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right in the this case.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that a certificate of appealability is not issued in the present action.

Dated: April 6, 2011

SARIAND E. BURRELL JR. Jnited States District Judge

¹ Except for the requirement that appealable issues be specifically identified, the standard for issuance of a certificate of appealability is the same as the standard that applied to issuance of a certificate of probable cause. Jennings, at 1010.