

1 MICHAEL W. JONES (State Bar No. 136872)
 CHRISTINE E. JACOB (State Bar No. 216679)
 2 JULIA M. REEVES (State Bar No. 241198)
 HANSEN, KOHLS, JONES, SOMMER & JACOB, LLP
 3 1520 EUREKA ROAD, SUITE 100
 ROSEVILLE, CA 95661
 4 TELEPHONE: (916) 781-2550
 FACSIMILE: (916) 781-5339

5 Attorneys for Defendants MANUEL MENDOZA
 6 and GLORIA MENDOZA

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

STATE NATIONAL INSURANCE
 COMPANY, a Texas corporation,

Case No. 2:10-CV-03093-WBS-KJN

Plaintiff,

vs.

COLLINS PINE COMPANY, an Oregon
 corporation; D & H TRANSPORTATION,
 INC., a California corporation; MANUEL
 MENDOZA, an individual; GLORIA
 MENDOZA, an individual; PRAETORIAN
 INSURANCE COMPANY, a Pennsylvania
 corporation, and DOES 1 through 50,
 inclusive,

**STIPULATION TO CONTINUE
 HEARING OF DEFENDANTS/CROSS-
 DEFENDANTS MANUEL MENDOZA'S
 AND GLORIA MENDOZA'S MOTION
 TO DISMISS COUNTER-CLAIM AND
 CROSS-CLAIM OF PRAETORIAN
 INSURANCE COMPANY; [PROPOSED]
 ORDER**

Defendants.

Date: August 15, 2011
 Time: 2:00 p.m.
 Courtroom: 5
 Honorable William B. Shubb
 Complaint Filed: November 16, 2010

_____/

PRAETORIAN INSURANCE COMPANY,
 Counter and Cross Claimant,

vs.

STATE NATIONAL INSURANCE
 COMPANY; COLLINS PINE COMPANY;
 D&H TRANSPORTATION, INC.; D&H
 TRANSPORT, INC.; MANUEL MENDOZA;
 GLORIA MENDOZA; and DOES 1 to 50,

Counter and Cross Defendants.
 _____/

1 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between Defendants/Cross-Defendants MANUEL
2 MENDOZA and GLORIA MENDOZA and Defendant/Cross-Claimant PRAETORIAN
3 INSURANCE COMPANY, and their respective counsel of record that pursuant to Local Rule
4 230(f) the parties request a continuance of Defendants/Cross-Defendants Manuel Mendoza's and
5 Gloria Mendoza's Motion to Dismiss Counter-Claim and Cross-Claim of Praetorian Insurance
6 Company from July 18, 2011 to August 15, 2011. Good cause exists for this continuance as
7 Defendants/Cross-Defendants MANUEL MENDOZA and GLORIA MENDOZA and
8 Defendant/Cross-Claimant PRAETORIAN INSURANCE COMPANY are diligently attempting
9 to negotiate a settlement between them, and it would be an efficient use of both the parties' and
10 the Court's resources to avoid a potentially unnecessary hearing.

11
12 DATED: June 22, 2011

HANSEN, KOHLS, JONES, SOMMER
& JACOB, LLP

13
14
15 By /s/ CHRISTINE E. JACOB
CHRISTINE E. JACOB
JULIA M. REEVES
16 Attorneys for Defendants/Cross-Defendants
17 MANUEL MENDOZA
and GLORIA MENDOZA

18
19 DATED: June 22, 2011

DIEPENBROCK & COTTER LLP


20
21 By /s/ JOHN P. COTTER
JOHN P. COTTER
22 Attorneys for Defendant/Cross-Claimant
PRAETORIAN INSURANCE COMPANY
23
24
25
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: June 24, 2011



WILLIAM B. SHUBB
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE