1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	RODNEY LANCE HARMON,
11	Plaintiff, No. 2:10-cv-3147 KJN P
12	vs.
13	DR. FOX, et al.,
14	Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>
15	
16	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding without counsel, has filed a motion for court
17	ordered access to the library. In his motion, plaintiff alleges that court orders are necessary
18	before he can use the law library.
19	Plaintiff does not allege that he is under a present obligation to submit documents
20	within a time certain and thus has not demonstrated that his right of access to the courts is being
21	impaired. The court will not set a deadline merely for the purpose of insuring the plaintiff
22	additional library time.
23	
24	
25	
26	
	1

(PC) Harmon v. Fox et al

Doc. 27

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's February 9, 2011 motion for law library access (Dkt. No. 23) is denied. DATED: March 10, 2011 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE harm3147.80