

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RONALD WALTON,

Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-10-3167 GGH P

vs.

V. SING, et al.,

ORDER

Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_ /

On April 15, 2011, defendant filed a motion to dismiss pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6). Plaintiff has not opposed the motion.

Local Rule 230(l) provides in part: “Failure of the responding party to file written opposition or to file a statement of no opposition may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the motion . . . .” On January 31, 2011, plaintiff was advised of the requirements for filing an opposition to the motion and that failure to oppose such a motion may be deemed a waiver of opposition to the motion.

Local Rule 110 provides that failure to comply with the Local Rules “may be grounds for imposition of any and all sanctions authorized by statute or Rule or within the inherent power of the Court.” In the order filed January 31, 2011, plaintiff was advised

////

1 that failure to comply with the Local Rules may result in a recommendation that the action be  
2 dismissed.

3 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, within twenty-eight days of the date of this  
4 order, plaintiff shall file an opposition, if any, to the motion to dismiss. Failure to file an  
5 opposition will be deemed as a statement of non-opposition and shall result in a recommendation  
6 that this action be dismissed pursuant Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

7 DATED: June 10, 2011

8 /s/ Gregory G. Hollows

9 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

10 GGH: AB  
11 walt3167.46.osc