1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	LISA ROBINSON, ET AL.,	No. 2:10-cv-03187-MCE-CKD
12	Plaintiffs,	
13	٧.	ORDER CONTINUING TRIAL
14	KIA MOTORS AMERICA, INC.,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED the February 22, 2016, jury trial is vacated and	
18	continued to June 27, 2016, at 9:00 a.m., in Courtroom 7. Counsel is advised the Court	
19	will not entertain a request for continuance of this trial date due to counsels' failure to	
20	respond to the courtroom deputy's December 21, 2015, email regarding the Court's	
21	need to continue the currently scheduled trial date. The parties shall file trial briefs not	
22	later than June 6, 2016. Counsel is directed to Local Rule 285 regarding the content of	
23	trial briefs.	
24	///	
25	///	
26	///	
27	///	
28	///	
		1

1	Due to the Court's high civil caseload, the parties are encouraged to consider	
2	consenting to a jury or nonjury trial before the assigned Magistrate Judge ¹ as well as	
3	availing themselves of the Court's Alternative Dispute Resolution programs. ² See E.D.	
4	Cal. Local Rs. 171, 301.	
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
6	DATED: January 19, 2016	
7	A AAAA	
8	MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR, CHIEF JUDGE	
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18	¹ The Eastern District of California has for years been one of the busiest District Courts in the nation. The parties are reminded that pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 73 and Local Rule 301, the parties may consent to a jury or nonjury trial before the assigned Magistrate Judge. As a result of the Court's high civil case load and the statutory right to a speedy trial in criminal cases, the parties are	
19		
20	encouraged to consider the advantages of consenting to Magistrate Judge jurisdiction. Magistrate Judges can assign civil litigants a trial date much sooner and with more certainty than District Court Judges. In	
21	addition, since Magistrate Judges do not try felony cases, a trial date assigned by one can be considered a firm date which will not be preempted by a criminal case. Exercise of this jurisdiction by a Magistrate	
22	Judge is however, permitted only if all parties file a voluntarily consent form. Parties may, without adverse substantive consequences, withhold their consent, but this will prevent the Court's case dispositive	
23	jurisdiction from being exercised by a Magistrate Judge.	
24	² The Court may, at the election of all the parties, refer certain actions to the Voluntary Dispute Resolution Program ("VDRP"). If the parties believe that participation in a mediation and/or a settlement	
25	conference with a Magistrate Judge would be beneficial, they are encouraged to contact the Court's Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) Division, in writing, at the address or email address below: ADR	
26	Division, Attention: Sujean Park, U.S. District Court, 501 I Street, Suite 4-200, Sacramento, CA 95814, email: spark@caed.uscourts.gov. Alternatively, the parties may request referral to the VDRP by filing a Stipulation and Propagad Order reflecting the agreement of all parties to submit the action to the VDRP.	
27	Stipulation and Proposed Order reflecting the agreement of all parties to submit the action to the VDRP pursuant to Local Rule 271. Should the parties reach a settlement or otherwise resolve their case by agreement of the parties, they are reminded that it is the duty of counsel to immediately file a notice of	
28	agreement of the parties, they are reminded that it is the duty of counsel to immediately file a notice of settlement or resolution as set forth in Local Rule 160.	
	2	