

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 RICHARD MANUEL BURGOS,

11 Plaintiff,

No. 2:10-cv-3274 GEB EFB P

12 vs.

13 ROBERT LONG, et al.,

14 Defendants.

ORDER

15 \_\_\_\_\_/  
16 Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in an action brought under 42  
17 U.S.C. § 1983. He has once again requested that the court appoint counsel. As plaintiff has  
18 been previously informed, district courts lack authority to require counsel to represent indigent  
19 prisoners in section 1983 cases. *Mallard v. United States Dist. Court*, 490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989).  
20 In exceptional circumstances, the court may request an attorney to voluntarily to represent such a  
21 plaintiff. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1); *Terrell v. Brewer*, 935 F.2d 1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991);  
22 *Wood v. Housewright*, 900 F.2d 1332, 1335-36 (9th Cir. 1990). When determining whether  
23 “exceptional circumstances” exist, the court must consider the likelihood of success on the  
24 merits as well as the ability of the plaintiff to articulate his claims pro se in light of the  
25 complexity of the legal issues involved. *Palmer v. Valdez*, 560 F.3d 965, 970 (9th Cir. 2009).

26 ///

1 Having considered those factors, the court still finds there are no exceptional circumstances in  
2 this case.

3 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's request for appointment of  
4 counsel, Dckt. No. 105, is denied.

5 DATED: February 19, 2013.

6   
7 EDMUND F. BRENNAN  
8 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26