I

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	JOHN JAMES,
11	Plaintiff, No. 2:10-cv-03279 MCE KJN PS
12	VS.
13	ALBERT GARY; CURTIS FERGUNSON and CREW,
14 15	Defendants. <u>FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS</u>
16	Plaintiff, who is in forma pauperis and proceeding without counsel, filed his
17	complaint on December 8, 2010. (Dkt. No. 1.) A review of the docket reflects that the court's
18	screening order dated December 9, 2010 (Dkt. No. 3) was served upon plaintiff's address of
19	record via mail on December 10, 2010, and returned as undeliverable by the postal service on
20	December 29, 2010. It appears that plaintiff has failed to comply with Local Rule 183(b), which
21	requires that a party appearing in propria persona inform the court of any address change. More
22	than sixty-three days have passed since the court order was returned by the postal service and
23	plaintiff has failed to notify the Court of a current address.
24	Further, the court dismissed plaintiff's complaint with leave to amend on
25	December 9, 2010. (Dkt. No. 3.) The court's order dated December 9, 2010, gave plaintiff 30
26	days to amend his complaint to correct various deficiencies, but plaintiff has not filed any
	1

1	amended pleading to date and the 30-day deadline has passed. (Dkt. No. 3.)
2	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed
3	without prejudice for failure to prosecute. See Local Rule 183(b).
4	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District
5	Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen
6	days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written
7	objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's
8	Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the objections shall be filed and served
9	within fourteen days after service of the objections. Plaintiff is advised that failure to file
10	objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order.
11	Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).
12	IT IS SO RECOMMENDED.
13	DATED: March 10, 2011
14	T in a i
15	KENDALL J. NEWMAN
16	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2