

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JEREMY DIONNE,

1:10-cv-02206-JLT HC

Petitioner,

vs.

ORDER OF TRANSFER

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF
CALIFORNIA,

Respondent.

_____ /

Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a habeas corpus action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, in the Fresno Division of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California.

The federal venue statute requires that a civil action, other than one based on diversity jurisdiction, be brought only in “(1) a judicial district where any defendant resides, if all defendants reside in the same state, (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of the property that is the subject of the action is situated, or (3) a judicial district in which any defendant may be found, if there is no district in which the action may otherwise be brought.” 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).

In a habeas matter, venue is proper in either the district of conviction or the district of confinement. 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d). Where a petitioner attacks the execution of his sentence, the

1 proper forum in which to review such a claim is the district of confinement. See Dunn v. Henman,
2 875 F.2d 244, 249 (9th Cir. 1989)(stating, in a 28 U.S.C. § 2241 action, that “[t]he proper forum to
3 challenge the execution of a sentence is the district where the prisoner is confined.”)

4 In this case, Petitioner is challenging a conviction from Placer County, which is located in the
5 Sacramento Division of the Eastern District of California. Because the matter should be addressed
6 in the forum where Petitioner was convicted, the petition should have been filed in the Sacramento
7 Division of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California. Pursuant to Local
8 Rule 120(f), a civil action which has not been commenced in the proper division of a court may, on
9 the court’s own motion, be transferred to the proper division of the court. Therefore, this action will
10 be transferred to the Sacramento Division of this Court.

11 Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

12 1. This action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of
13 California sitting in Sacramento; and,

14 2. All future filings shall reference the new Sacramento case number assigned and shall be
15 filed at:

16 United States District Court
17 Eastern District of California
18 501 “I” Street, Suite 4-200
19 Sacramento, CA 95814

20 IT IS SO ORDERED.

21 Dated: December 14, 2010

/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

22
23
24
25
26
27
28